

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/494,212	01/25/2000	Shi-Lung Lin	USP9768A-EI	3155	
7	7590 05/23/2002				
Raymond Yat Chiu Chan			EXAMINER		
1050 Oakdale Ln Arcadia, CA 91006			SISSON, BR	SISSON, BRADLEY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634	12	
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002	' ()	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/494,212	LIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bradley L. Sisson	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 March 2002</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-18,20,22,23,25,26 and 29-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-18,20,22,23,25,26 and 29-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Pape otice of Informal Patent Application ther:				

Application/Control Number: 09/494,212

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 27 March 2002 has been entered.

Location of Application

2. The location of the subject application has changed. The subject application is now located in Group 1630, Art Unit 1634, and has been assigned to Primary Examiner Bradley L. Sisson.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 7-18, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, and 29-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/494,212

Art Unit: 1634

5. As presently worded, the method of claim 1 is drawn to a method "of generating messenger RNAs with polymerase reaction activity." Such phraseology suggests that the resultant amplified RNAs have polymerase activity and/or are the product of where polymerase activity is used to amplify the RNA. Upon closer inspection of the claims, it seems that neither of these events is taking place. In the first instance, there is no clear indication that the resultant RNAs have any polymerase activity. At present no nucleic acid is known to exhibit such an activity and a review of the disclosure fails to support a claim that the amplified intracellular RNAs of the instant method have been found to have this activity. Secondly, the intracellular RNAs of the instant method were not amplified by the use of an RNA polymerase. Rather, a cDNA was made using a reverse transcriptase and that it was the cDNAs, not RNAs that were in turn subjected to an amplification step. The resultant DNA amplicons where then subjected to a transcriptase so to give rise to an RNA sequence of complementary sequence and like number of the DNA from which is was derived.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

9. Claims 1-3, 7-18, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, and 29-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mallet et al., in view of Van Gelder et al.

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

- 10. Mallet et al., disclose a method whereby RNA is first subjected to reverse transcription so to generate applicant's "first-stranded complementary DNAs." These cDNAs are then incubated with primers and subjected to amplification so to yield applicant's "amplified RNAs."
- 11. Column 6 provides a listing of suitable reverse transcriptases, including some of those listed in claim 7.
- 12. Column 7, first full paragraph, identifies suitable DNA polymerases. This showing is considered to meet the limitation of claim 12.
- 13. Mallet et al., do not teach subjecting the "amplified RNA" or cDNA to a RNA polymerase.

Application/Control Number: 09/494,212

Art Unit: 1634

- 14. Van Gelder et al., teach a method by which cDNA is subjected to transcription wherein RNase blocks are used in conjunction with RNA polymerases such as T3 or T7.
- 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method of Mallet so to permit the transcription of DNA into RNA as Van Gelder et al., teach explicitly of conducting transcription of amplified cDNA (subjected to at least three rounds of amplification). In view of the explicit guidance and suggestions in the art, and the well-developed nature of he art, the ordinary artisan would have been motivated and would have had a reasonable expectation of success.

Conclusion

- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley L. Sisson whose telephone number is 703-308-3978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5 PM.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached on 703-308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

18. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Bradley L. Sisson

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634

BLS May 19, 2002